At Stephen F. Austin State University, our faculty, staff, alumni and students believe in doing things "The SFA Way." We expect the best from ourselves and from each other, and we hold each other accountable when we fail to maintain these standards.

Grounded in the five "Root Principles" below, we, the members of the SFA community, strive for personal excellence in everything that we do.

The SFA Way ... "...striving for personal excellence in everything we do."

The Principle of Respect … Lumberjacks command respect and treat others with respect.

The Principle of Caring … Lumberjacks think of the needs of others and seek to improve the quality of life of those around them.

The Principle of Responsibility … Lumberjacks do what is right.

The Principle of Unity … Lumberjacks are loyal to their friends, family, university, state and country.

The Principle of Integrity … Lumberjacks have the courage to do what is right, even when it is hard or unpopular.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instructor:</th>
<th>L. Kathleen Sheriff, Ed.D.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Course Time &amp; Location:</td>
<td>DesireToLearn (D2L), Online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Hours</td>
<td>Summer I Office Hours: from 9-11am. Send me an email within d2l of this course to schedule a Zoom meeting or a phone call appointment. You may also use the CHAT tool to speak with me anytime that you notice I'm available online.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Phone:</td>
<td>(936) 468-1194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits:</td>
<td>3 semester hours, graduate credit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fax:</td>
<td>(936) 468-5837 Attn: Kathleen Sheriff, Ed.D.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E-mail:</td>
<td>Please utilize D2L inside the course for email communication for anything related to the course. Only use <a href="mailto:sherifflk@sfasu.edu">sherifflk@sfasu.edu</a> if D2L email is not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Address</td>
<td>Stephen F. Austin State University, Department of Education Studies- Kathleen Sheriff, P.O. Box 13017, Nacogdoches, Texas 75962</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prerequisites:</td>
<td>Graduate Status</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prerequisites: Graduate Status
I. Course Description:

This course is for instruction in the use of technology that enhances learning, functioning and independent living for individuals with disabilities. Focus is on both low-tech and high-tech supports with an emphasis on new technologies.

SPED 5366 (SPE 566.501) Assistive Technology: Ethical Practice, Access, and Evaluation (3 credits; fully online) spans 15 weeks during regular Fall and Spring semesters and 5 weeks during a Summer Session Semester. This graduate course contains extensive content that includes the same information students in a face-to-face lecture course receive, requiring students to engage the online modules for at least three hours per a typical Fall/Spring semester week. Summer Session will require at least two hours per day in module work online. Primary source readings are woven into the content to support key concepts for instruction in the use of technology that enhances learning, functioning and independent living for individuals with disabilities. Focus is on both low-tech and high-tech supports with an emphasis on new technologies, evaluations, data collection, assessments, cultural awareness, family support, and ethical professional collaborative teaming. Students self-evaluate their personal ethical responsibilities/belief systems, research new technologies, read and evaluate evidence-based research, and create a personalized communication system for a scenario child with a communication disability. For every hour a student spends engaging with the module content, he/she spends at least two hours completing associated readings, activities, and assessments regarding students with assistive technology needs.

II. Intended Learning Outcomes and its Relationship to the College of Education

This course reflects the following core values of the College of Education:

- Academic excellence through critical, reflective, and creative thinking
- Life-long learning
- Collaboration and shared decision-making
- Openness to new ideas, to culturally diverse people, and to innovation and change
- Integrity, responsibility, diligence, and ethical behavior
- Service that enriches the community

The mission of the College of Education is to prepare competent, successful, caring, and enthusiastic professionals dedicated to responsible service, leadership, and continued professional and intellectual development.

The goals of this course are closely aligned to those of the College of Education (COE), which is to prepare competent, successful, caring and enthusiastic professionals dedicated to responsible service, leadership, and continued professional and intellectual development. As a preliminary step in this process the knowledge obtained in this course will enable candidates to develop the requisite knowledge, skills, and dispositions necessary for admission into the COE Teacher Certification Program.
This course also supports the mission of the Human Services Department.

Commitment to Diversity …

The College of Education is committed to proactively recruiting and retaining a diverse faculty, staff, and student population. Through open dialogue, mutual respect, and shared responsibility, faculty, staff, and students will demonstrate an understanding and sensitivity to ethnicity, race, gender, differing ability levels, culture, language/dialect, age, social class, family structure, sexual orientation, religion, and spiritual values in order to enhance the quality of life in a diverse, global community.

a. Multicultural awareness: consciousness of one’s personal reactions to people who are different in phenotype, family background, socio-political perspective, and cultural history.

b. Multicultural knowledge: exposed to content related to culture (group)-specific values and beliefs and implications to status on day-to-day experiences within the general society:

c. Multicultural Skill: implementation of consistent interpersonal skills and communication that reflect openness to listening and considering as valid multiple perspectives and wide range of expressions of individuals’ life stories and experiences.

THECB Core Objectives/Outcomes

- Critical Thinking Skills
  - To include creative thinking, innovation, inquiry, and analysis, evaluation and synthesis of information

- Communication Skills
  - To include effective development, interpretation and expression of ideas through written, oral and visual communication.

- Empirical and Quantitative Skills
  - To include the manipulation and analysis of numerical data or observable facts resulting in informed conclusions.

- Teamwork
  - To include the ability to consider different points of view and to work effectively with others to support a shared purpose or goal.

- Personal Responsibility
  - To include the ability to connect choices, actions and consequences to ethical decision-making

- Social Responsibility
  - To include intercultural competence, knowledge of civic responsibility, and the ability to engage effectively in regional, national, and global communities.

Standards Addressed:
CAEP ... Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation

Standard 1: Content and Pedagogical Knowledge

Candidate Knowledge, Skills, and Professional Dispositions

1.1 Candidates demonstrate an understanding of the 10 InTASC standards at the appropriate progression level(s) in the following categories: the learner and learning; content; instructional practice; and professional responsibility.

Provider Responsibilities

1.2 Providers ensure that completers use research and evidence to develop an understanding of the teaching profession and use both to measure their P-12 students’ progress and their own professional practice.

1.3 Providers ensure that completers apply content and pedagogical knowledge as reflected in outcome assessments in response to standards of Specialized Professional Associations (SPA), the National Board for Professional Teaching Standards (NBPTS), states, or other accrediting bodies (e.g., National Association of Schools of Music – NASM).

1.4 Providers ensure that completers demonstrate skills and commitment that afford all P-12 students access to rigorous college- and career-ready standards (e.g., Next Generation Science Standards, National Career Readiness Certificate, Common Core State Standards).

1.5 Providers ensure that completers model and apply technology standards as they design, implement and assess learning experiences to engage students and improve learning; and enrich professional practice.

Standard 2: Clinical Partnerships and Practice

Partnerships for Clinical Preparation

2.1 Partners co-construct mutually beneficial P-12 school and community arrangements, including technology-based collaborations, for clinical preparation and share responsibility for continuous improvement of candidate preparation. Partnerships for clinical preparation can follow a range of forms, participants, and functions. They establish mutually agreeable expectations for candidate entry, preparation, and exit; ensure that theory and practice are linked; maintain coherence across clinical and academic components of preparation; and share accountability for candidate outcomes.

Clinical Educators

2.2 Partners co-select, prepare, evaluate, support, and retain high-quality clinical educators, both provider- and school-based, who demonstrate a positive
impact on candidates’ development and P-12 student learning and development. In collaboration with their partners, providers use multiple indicators and appropriate technology-based applications to establish, maintain, and refine criteria for selection, professional development, performance evaluation, continuous improvement, and retention of clinical educators in all clinical placement settings.

**Clinical Experiences**

2.3 The provider works with partners to design clinical experiences of sufficient depth, breadth, diversity, coherence, and duration to ensure that candidates demonstrate their developing effectiveness and positive impact on all students’ learning and development. Clinical experiences, including technology-enhanced learning opportunities, are structured to have multiple performance-based assessments at key points within the program to demonstrate candidates’ development of the knowledge, skills, and professional dispositions, as delineated in Standard 1, that are associated with a positive impact on the learning and development of all P-12 students.

**Standard 3: Candidate Quality, Recruitment, and Selectivity**

**Plan for Recruitment of Diverse Candidates who Meet Employment Needs**

3.1 The provider presents plans and goals to recruit and support completion of high-quality candidates from a broad range of backgrounds and diverse populations to accomplish their mission. The admitted pool of candidates reflects the diversity of America’s P-12 students. The provider demonstrates efforts to know and address community, state, national, regional, or local needs for hard-to-staff schools and shortage fields, currently, STEM, English-language learning, and students with disabilities.

**Admission Standards Indicate That Candidates Have High Academic Achievement And Ability**

3.2 The provider sets admissions requirements, including CAEP minimum criteria or the state’s minimum criteria, whichever are higher, and gathers data to monitor applicants and the selected pool of candidates. The provider ensures that the average grade point average of its accepted cohort of candidates meets or exceeds the CAEP minimum of 3.0, and the group average performance on nationally normed ability/achievement assessments such as ACT, SAT, or GRE:

- is in the top 50 percent from 2016-2017;
- is in the top 40 percent of the distribution from 2018-2019; and
- is in the top 33 percent of the distribution by 2020.

If any state can meet the CAEP standards, as specified above, by demonstrating a correspondence in scores between the state-normed assessments and nationally normed ability/achievement assessments, then educator preparation providers from that state will be able to utilize their state assessments until 2020. CAEP will work with states through this transition.
Over time, a program may develop a reliable, valid model that uses admissions criteria other than those stated in this standard. In this case, the admitted cohort group mean on these criteria must meet or exceed the standard that has been shown to positively correlate with measures of P-12 student learning and development.

The provider demonstrates that the standard for high academic achievement and ability is met through multiple evaluations and sources of evidence. The provider reports the mean and standard deviation for the group.

[Board amendment adopted February 13, 2015] CAEP will work with states and providers through this transition regarding nationally or state normed assessments. Alternative arrangements for meeting this standard (beyond the alternative stated above for “a reliable, valid model that uses admissions criteria other than those stated in this standard”) will be approved only under special circumstances. The CAEP staff will report to the Board and the public annually on actions taken under this provision. In all cases, EPPs must demonstrate the quality of the admitted candidates.

**Additional Selectivity Factors**

3.3 Educator preparation providers establish and monitor attributes and dispositions beyond academic ability that candidates must demonstrate at admissions and during the program. The provider selects criteria, describes the measures used and evidence of the reliability and validity of those measures, and reports data that show how the academic and non-academic factors predict candidate performance in the program and effective teaching.

**Selectivity During Preparation**

3.4 The provider creates criteria for program progression and monitors candidates’ advancement from admissions through completion. All candidates demonstrate the ability to teach to college- and career-ready standards. Providers present multiple forms of evidence to indicate candidates’ developing content knowledge, pedagogical content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and the integration of technology in all of these domains.

**Selection At Completion**

3.5 Before the provider recommends any completing candidate for licensure or certification, it documents that the candidate has reached a high standard for content knowledge in the fields where certification is sought and can teach effectively with positive impacts on P-12 student learning and development.
3.6 Before the provider recommends any completing candidate for licensure or certification, it documents that the candidate understands the expectations of the profession, including codes of ethics, professional standards of practice, and relevant laws and policies. CAEP monitors the development of measures that assess candidates’ success and revises standards in light of new results.

Standard 4: Program Impact

Impact on P-12 Student Learning and Development

4.1 The provider documents, using multiple measures, that program completers contribute to an expected level of student-learning growth. Multiple measures shall include all available growth measures (including value-added measures, student-growth percentiles, and student learning and development objectives) required by the state for its teachers and available to educator preparation providers, other state-supported P-12 impact measures, and any other measures employed by the provider.

Indicators of Teaching Effectiveness

4.2 The provider demonstrates, through structured and validated observation instruments and student surveys, that completers effectively apply the professional knowledge, skills, and dispositions that the preparation experiences were designed to achieve.

Satisfaction of Employers

4.3 The provider demonstrates, using measures that result in valid and reliable data and including employment milestones such as promotion and retention, that employers are satisfied with the completers’ preparation for their assigned responsibilities in working with P-12 students.

Satisfaction of Completers

4.4 The provider demonstrates, using measures that result in valid and reliable data, that program completers perceive their preparation as relevant to the responsibilities they confront on the job, and that the preparation was effective.

Standard 5: Provider Quality Assurance and Continuous Improvement

Quality and Strategic Evaluation

5.1 The provider’s quality assurance system is comprised of multiple measures that can monitor candidate progress, completer achievements, and provider operational effectiveness. Evidence demonstrates that the provider satisfies all CAEP standards.

5.2 The provider’s quality assurance system relies on relevant, verifiable, representative, cumulative and actionable measures, and produces empirical evidence that interpretations of data are valid and consistent.

Continuous Improvement

5.3 The provider regularly and systematically assesses performance against its goals and relevant standards, tracks results over time, tests innovations and the effects of selection criteria on subsequent progress and completion, and uses results to improve program elements and processes.

5.4 Measures of completer impact, including available outcome data on P-12 student growth, are summarized, externally benchmarked, analyzed,
shared widely, and acted upon in decision-making related to programs, resource allocation, and future direction.

5.5. The provider assures that appropriate stakeholders, including alumni, employers, practitioners, school and community partners, and others defined by the provider, are involved in program evaluation, improvement, and identification of models of excellence.

CEC … Council for Exceptional Children

Standard 1: Learner Development & Individual Learning Differences

Key Elements

1.1 Beginning special education professionals understand how language, culture, and family background influence the learning of individuals with exceptionalities.

1.2 Beginning special education professionals use understanding of development and individual differences to respond to the needs of individuals with exceptionalities.

Standard 2: Learning Environments

Key Elements

2.1 Beginning special education professionals through collaboration with general educators and other colleagues create safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments to engage individuals with exceptionalities in meaningful learning activities and social interactions.

2.2 Beginning special education professionals use motivational and instructional interventions to teach individuals with exceptionalities how to adapt to different environments.

2.3 Beginning special education professionals know how to intervene safely and appropriately with individuals with exceptionalities in crisis.

Standard 3: Curricular Content Knowledge

Key Elements

3.1 Beginning special education professionals understand the central concepts, structures of the discipline, and tools of inquiry of the content areas they teach, and can organize this knowledge, integrate cross-disciplinary skills, and develop meaningful learning progressions for individuals with exceptionalities.

3.2 Beginning special education professionals understand and use general and specialized content knowledge for teaching across curricular content areas to individualize learning for individuals with exceptionalities.

3.3 Beginning special education professionals modify general and specialized curricula to make them accessible to individuals with exceptionalities.

Standard 4: Assessment

Key Elements

4.1 Beginning special education professionals select and use technically sound formal and informal assessments that minimize bias.

4.2 Beginning special education professionals use knowledge of measurement principles and practices to interpret assessment results and guide educational decisions for individuals with exceptionalities.
4.3 Beginning special education professionals in collaboration with colleagues and families use multiple types of assessment information in making decisions about individuals with exceptionalities.

4.4 Beginning special education professionals engage individuals with exceptionalities to work toward quality learning and performance and provide feedback to guide them.

Standard 5: Instructional Planning & Strategies

Key Elements

5.1 Beginning special education professionals consider an individual’s abilities, interests, learning environments, and cultural and linguistic factors in the selection, development, and adaptation of learning experiences for individual with exceptionalities.

5.2 Beginning special education professionals use technologies to support instructional assessment, planning, and delivery for individuals with exceptionalities.

5.3 Beginning special education professionals are familiar with augmentative and alternative communication systems and a variety of assistive technologies to support the communication and learning of individuals with exceptionalities.

5.4 Beginning special education professionals use strategies to enhance language development and communication skills of individuals with exceptionalities.

5.5 Beginning special education professionals develop and implement a variety of education and transition plans for individuals with exceptionalities across a wide range of settings and different learning experiences in collaboration with individuals, families, and teams.

5.6 Beginning special education professionals teach to mastery and promote generalization of learning.

5.7 Beginning special education professionals teach cross-disciplinary knowledge and skills such as critical thinking and problem solving to individuals with exceptionalities.

Standard 6: Professional Learning & Ethical Practice

Key Elements

6.1 Beginning special education professionals use professional Ethical Principles and Professional Practice Standards to guide their practice.

6.2 Beginning special education professionals understand how foundational knowledge and current issues influence professional practice.

6.3 Beginning special education professionals understand that diversity is a part of families, cultures, and schools, and that complex human issues can interact with the delivery of special education services.

6.4 Beginning special education professionals understand the significance of lifelong learning and participate in professional activities and learning communities.

6.5 Beginning special education professionals advance the profession by engaging in activities such as advocacy and mentoring

6.6 Beginning special education professionals provide guidance and direction to paraprofessionals, tutors, and volunteers.

Standard 7: Collaboration
Key Elements

7.1 Beginning special education professionals use the theory and elements of effective collaboration.

7.2 Beginning special education professionals serve as a collaborative resource to colleagues.

7.3 Beginning special education professionals use collaboration to promote the well-being of individuals with exceptionalities across a wide range of settings and collaborators.

InTASC … Interstate Teacher Assessment and Support Consortium
Standard 1: Learner Development
Standard 2: Learning Differences
Standard 3: Learning Environments
Standard 4: Content Knowledge
Standard 5: Application of Content
Standard 6: Assessment
Standard 7: Planning for Instruction
Standard 8: Instructional Strategies
Standard 9: Professional Learning and Ethical Practice
Standard 10: Leadership and Collaboration

ISTE … International Society for Technology in Education
Standard 1: Facilitate and inspire student learning and creativity
  a. Promote, support, and model creative and innovative thinking and inventiveness
  b. Engage students in … digital tools and resources
  c. Promote student reflection using collaborative tools …
  d. Model collaborative knowledge construction by engaging … students
Standard 2: Design and develop digital age learning experiences and assessments
  a. Design or adapt relevant learning experiences that incorporate digital tools and resources …
  b. Develop technology-enriched learning environments …
  c. Customize and personalize learning activities to address students’ diverse learning styles …
  d. Provide students with multiple and varied formative and summative assessments …
Standard 3: Model digital age work and learning
  a. Demonstrate fluency in technology systems … transfer knowledge to new technologies
  b. Collaborate … using digital tools …
  c. Communicate … using a variety of digital age media and formats
  d. Model and facilitate effective use of current and emerging digital tools
Standard 4: Promote and model digital citizenship and responsibility
a. Advocate … use of digital information and technology …
b. Address diverse need of all learners … using digital tools and resources
c. Promote and model digital etiquette and responsible social interactions …
d. Develop and model cultural understanding and global awareness … using digital age communication and collaboration tools

Standard 5: Engage in professional growth and leadership
a. Participate in local and global learning … explore creative applications of technology …
b. Exhibit leadership by demonstrating a vision of technology infusion …
c. Evaluate and reflect on current research and professional practice …
d. Contribute to … teaching profession … school and community

SBEC/TExES … State Board of Educator Certification (TExES 161 exam)

DOMAIN I – UNDERSTANDING INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES AND EVALUATING THEIR NEEDS

DOMAIN II – PROMOTING STUDENT LEARNING AND DEVELOPMENT

DOMAIN III – PROMOTING STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS AND READING AND IN MATHEMATICS

DOMAIN IV – FOUNDATIONS AND PROFESSIONAL ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD I (DOMAIN IV):
The special education teacher understands and applies knowledge of the philosophical, historical and legal foundations of special education.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD II (DOMAIN IV):
The special education teacher applies knowledge of professional roles and responsibilities and adheres to legal and ethical requirements of the profession.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD III (DOMAIN IV):
The special education teacher knows how to communicate and collaborate effectively in a variety of professional settings.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD IV (DOMAIN I):
The special education teacher understands and applies knowledge of the characteristics and needs of individuals with disabilities.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD V (DOMAIN I):
The special education teacher understands formal and informal assessment procedures and knows how to evaluate student competencies to make instructional decisions.
SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD VI (DOMAIN II):
The special education teacher understands and applies knowledge of procedures for planning instruction and managing the teaching and learning environment.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD VII (DOMAIN II):
The special education teacher understands and applies knowledge of issues and procedures for teaching appropriate student behavior and social skills.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD VIII (DOMAIN II):
The special education teacher understands assistive technology as defined by state and federal regulations.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD IX (DOMAIN II):
The special education teacher understands and applies knowledge of transition issues and procedures across the life span.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD X (DOMAIN II):
The special education teacher promotes students’ academic performance in all content areas by facilitating their achievement in a variety of settings and situations.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD XI (DOMAIN III):
The special education teacher promotes students’ performance in English language arts and reading.

SPECIAL EDUCATION EC-12 STANDARD XII (DOMAIN III):
The special education teacher promotes students’ performance in mathematics.

Course Coordination with the Special Education EC-12 TExES Domains and Competencies

This course’s alignment with the State Board of Educator Certification Standards for Texas EC-12 Special Education teachers coincides with the College of Education’s mission to provide candidates with a foundation for success in teaching and the COE's goal of academic excellence in candidate content knowledge.

OUTCOMES:

Program Learning Outcomes: Council for Exceptional Children (CEC):

1. Understand how exceptionalities may interact with development and learning and use this knowledge to provide meaningful and challenging learning experiences for individuals with exceptionalities (CEC 1.1, 1.2).
2. Create safe, inclusive, culturally responsive learning environments so that individuals with exceptionalities become active and effective learners and
develop emotional well-being, positive social interactions, and self-determination (CEC 2.1, 2.2, 2.3).
3. Use knowledge of general and specialized curricula to individualize learning for individuals with exceptionalities (CEC 3.3).
4. Use multiple methods of assessment and data-sources in making educational decisions (CEC 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4).
5. Select, adapt, and use a repertoire of evidence-based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities (CEC 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.6, 5.7).
6. Use foundational knowledge of the field and their professional Ethical Principles and Practice Standards to inform special education practice, to engage in lifelong learning, and to advance the profession (CEC 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4).
7. Collaborate with families, other educators, related service providers, individuals with exceptionalities, and personnel from community agencies in culturally responsive ways to address the needs of individuals with exceptionalities across a range of learning experiences (CEC 7.1, 7.2, 7.3).

Student Learning Outcomes:

Upon completion of this course students will be able to:

1. Collaborate and consider Assistive Technology (AT) in the IEP process for making informed AT decisions for students with disabilities. (ISTE: 2c, 4b, 5a, 5b; INTASC: 6,7; SBEC: Domain I-Standard V; CEC: 4.1; Texas Standards PBIC 8.4K)
2. Develop understanding for professional responsibility in use of legal statues regarding provision of AT for students with disabilities as part of a free and appropriate public education (FAPE). (ISTE: 2a,2c,2d, 4a,5c,5d; INTASC: 9,10; SBEC: Domain II-Standard VIII; CEC: 6.1,6.2; Texas Standards PBIC 8.3K)
3. Discuss and collaborate on roles and responsibilities school administrators and teachers have in provision and use of AT devices for students with disabilities. (ISTE: 1c,3b,5a,5b,5c,5d; INTASC: 10; CEC: 7.1,7.2,7.3; Texas Standards PBIC 8.5K)
4. Read, compare, contrast AT in both federal state legal contexts including basic definitions of AT and examples of high-tech and low-tech devices. ISTE: 2a,2c,2d, INTASC: 9,10; CEC: 6.1.,6.2,6.3,6.4; Texas Standards PBIC 8.1K)
5. Prepare and conduct AT evaluations for students with a disabilities including parental input and culturally appropriate responsivenss to the families of the students. ISTE: 2a,2b,2c,2d,4b, INTASC: 3,6, SBEC: Domain I-Standard IV, CEC: 1.1,1.2,4.1,4.2,4.3,4.4; Texas Standards PBIC 8.1S)
6. Know ways in which technology can assist with planning instruction and managing the teaching and learning environment and meeting the needs of individual students with disabilities (Texas Standards PBIC 6.4K)
7. Know appropriate strategies and staff including assistive technology team members within campus and district that can support keeping classroom
assistive technology equipment in good working order (Texas Standards PBIC 8.6S)

8. Know and understand moral and legal importance of implementing assistive technology as directed by a student’s Individual Educational Plan (IEP) (Texas Standards PBIC 8.7S)

9. Evaluate the effectiveness of AT implementation plans for students with disabilities. (ISTE: 1c,3d,4b,4d, INTASC: 10, SBEC: Domain II-Standard VI, CEC: 4.1; Texas Standards PBIC 8.4S, 8.5S)

10. Research, locate, and discuss available AT devices for supporting students with disabilities in the academic areas of Reading, Writing, and Math within the concept of inclusive education. (ISTE: 1a,1c,1b,2b,5c, INTASC: 1,2,4,5,8,9, SBEC: Domain II-Standards XI & XII; CEC: 1.1, 5.1,5.2,5.3,5.4,5.5,5.6,5.7, 7.1,7.2; Texas Standards PBIC 8.6K, 8.2S)

11. Assess students with disabilities within the Transition Planning process and Post-secondary Transition process for AT skills and self-determination skills. (ISTE: 1c,1d,2a,3b, 5a,5b,5d; INTASC: 6,7,8; SBEC: Domain II-Standard IX; CEC: 1.1,1.2,2.1,2.2; Texas Standards PBIC 9.5K)

12. Design, model, and discuss research-based low-tech and high-tech devices for supporting communication skills for students with disabilities. ISTE: 1a,2a,3a,3b,3c,3d,4b,4d; INTASC: 1,4,5,6,8; SBEC: Domain I-Standard V, Domain III-Standard XI; CEC: 3.3, 4.1,4.2;Texas Standards PBIC 8.3S)

13. Know and understand how to access information on the cognitive, communicative, physical, social, and emotional characteristics of students with disabilities and the assistive technology needs of those students (Texas Standards Diag V1A)

14. Know and understand how to gather background information regarding the academic, medical, and family history of children with disabilities (Texas Standards Diag V2B)

15. Know, understand, and how to access methods of academic and nonacademic (e.g., transition,developmental, assistive technology, motor skills) assessment and evaluation (Texas Standards Diag VI1L, VILM)

16. Know and understand instructional strategies, technology tools and applications, and curriculum materials for students with disabilities within the continuum of services (Texas Standards Diag X1A)

III. Course Activities, Assignments, Instructional Strategies, use of Technology

The format for this course includes scheduled assignments and deadlines, offline reading assignments and projects, online reading and participation in using web pages, email, discussion boards, technology apps for smart phones, iPads, Androids, website software products, and D2L Chat. Assessment of course content will be conducted via scheduled online quizzes, discussion postings, projects, writing assignments.

IV. Evaluation and Assessments (Grading):

Course Requirements:
Assignments & Assessments

Module Quizzes (160 pts.)
Discussions (25 pts. X 6= 125 pts.)
Dropbox Assignments (540 pts.)
Course Evaluation (25 pts.)

Total Possible Points = 850 points

Grades determined using the following scale:

A = 90% of all possible points
B = 80% of all possible points
C = 70% of all possible points
D = 60% of all possible points
F = 59% (or less than) of all possible points

Quizzes: These will consist of essays, multiple-choice, true/false, and short answer items. You may want to make sure you take quizzes from a computer with high-speed access. Quizzes will be available for several days and will open at 6:00 am and stay available until 11:59 pm on last day of module availability for your convenience. Quizzes, Discussions, and Assignments are listed in your course timeline.

V. Course Timeline

Dr. Sheriff: SPED 5366.501 Course Timeline

Summer I 2023

Please note that this is a Summer School course. The best plan Timeline below shows the 5 short weeks subdivided with online modules and assignments due each week. Plan your time wisely in order to follow this best plan for course completion. ***NOTE: Week 5 is a short week and ends on Friday, June 30th at 5:00 pm. This course also ends on June 30th at 5:00pm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week &amp; Date</th>
<th>CEC PLOs</th>
<th>PCOE SLOs</th>
<th>Assignments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 1: 5/30-6/4/23</td>
<td>ISTE: 4.c, 4.d</td>
<td>Complete all the components of the Getting Started Module; Post your Bio in Discussions-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respond to peer postings; Read and print course syllabus and Timeline; Take syllabus quiz
**All Module 1 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/4/23 at 11:59pm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 1 Continued</th>
<th>6.1</th>
<th>6.2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTE: 2.d, 4a, 5.c, 5.d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INTASC: 9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBEC: Domain IV-Standard II</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Module 2**

Read textbook Chapter 1; Participate in Light Tech/High Tech Discussion and Code of Ethics Assignment; Take Self-Assessment for AT and submit to Dropbox;
**All Module 2 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/4/23 at 11:59pm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 1 Continued</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>2.2</th>
<th>2.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTE: 2c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INTASC: 2, 3, 4, 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBEC: Domain II-Standard X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Module 3**

Read textbook Chapter 2; Participate in Inclusion By Design Discussion
**All Module 3 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/11/23 at 11:59pm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 2: 6/5-6/11/23</th>
<th>7.1</th>
<th>7.2</th>
<th>7.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTE: 1.c, 3.b, 5.a, 5.b, 5.c, 5.d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INTASC: 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Module 4**

Read textbook Chapter 3; Complete mini IRIS Module and take Quiz; Participate in RAAT Discussion; Interview of AT Specialist and submit to Dropbox (May be an interview with someone in your district or a phone call interview to your local Ed Service Center AT specialist. This is OPTIONAL in Summer for Bonus).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 2 Continued</th>
<th>4.1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INTASC: 6, 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBEC: Domain I-Standard V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Module 4 Continued**

Read Chapter 1 of the WATI; Complete WATI AT Consideration Assignment and submit to Dropbox
**All Module 4 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/11/23 at 11:59pm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 2 Continued</th>
<th>6.1</th>
<th>6.2</th>
<th>6.3</th>
<th>6.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ISTE: 2.a, 2c, 2.d, 9, 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>INTASC:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBEC: Domain II-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Module 5**

Complete all activities within Module 5; NO textbook assignment this week; Complete Texas Legal Framework Assignment and submit to Dropbox; Complete TEA & TATN Scavenger Hunt and submit to Dropbox
**All Module 5 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/11/23 at 11:59pm**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Week 3: 6/12-6/18/23</th>
<th>Standard VIII</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Week 3 Continued</td>
<td>Module 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Review pages 53-57 of Chapter 3; Participate in Tablet App Research/Assessment for Young Children &amp; Discussion; Begin Becky’s IEP Project;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3 Continued</td>
<td>Module 6 Continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Becky’s Communication Board and participate in Becky’s Board Discussion; All Module 6 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/18/23 at 11:59pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 3 Continued</td>
<td>Module 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Begin Module 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Read textbook Chapters 5 &amp; 7; Read 6 peer-reviewed research AT journal articles on access to core standards in academics;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4: 6/19-6/25/23</td>
<td>Module 7 Continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utilize research protocol to write and complete 3 article analyses: Analysis for Writing, Analysis for Math, &amp; Analysis for Reading and Submit all 3 to appropriate Dropboxes; All Module 7 Assignments Due by Sunday 6/25/23 at 11:59pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 4: 6/19-6/25/23</td>
<td>Module 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Begin Module 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Read textbook Chapters 6 &amp; 8; Research SETT Framework in Module pages, Participate in TEA AT Training within Module pages if this training is still available (I will let you know because many free ESC Trainings have been discontinued); All Module 8 Assignments Due by 6/25/23 at 11:59pm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Week 5: 6/26-6/30/23</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 5 Continued</strong></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 5 Continued</strong></td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Week 5 Continued Short Week and Ends on Friday!</strong></td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NO FINAL EXAM!!!!</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VI. Readings

Required readings will be provided within modules and/or on the course homepage.

Access to a computer that has the following components: Webcam/microphone for Zoom meetings if requested, PowerPoint viewing and creating capabilities, Microsoft Excel, and Microsoft Word.

You will also need to have access to a digital camera and have video recording capabilities (e.g., Webcam, digital-video camera, or a smart phone) and access to an iPad or Android tablet or smart phone to view AT apps.

Required Textbook:


You may also purchase the textbook in digital format as an eText directly from Pearson Publishing. This version allows you to access your textbook via computer, iPad, or Android tablet device. Go to the following website - www.pearsonhighered.com/etextbooks to read about purchasing your textbook in eText format if you desire your textbook in digital format.

Recommended:


VII. Course Evaluations:

Near the conclusion of each semester, students in the College of Education electronically evaluate courses taken within the COE. Evaluation data is used for a variety of important purposes including: 1. Course and program improvement, planning, and accreditation; 2. Instruction evaluation purposes; and 3. Making decisions on faculty tenure, promotion, pay, and retention. As you evaluate this course, please be thoughtful, thorough, and accurate in completing the evaluation. Please know that the COE faculty is committed to excellence in teaching and continued improvement. Therefore, your response is critical!

In the College of Education, the course evaluation process has been simplified and is completed electronically through MySFA. Although the instructor will be able to view the names of students who complete the survey, all ratings and comments are
confidential and anonymous, and will not be available to the instructor until after final grades are posted.

VIII. Student Ethics and Other Policy Information: Found at https://www.sfasu.edu/policies

Class Attendance and excused Absence: Policy 6.7:

Regular, punctual attendance, documented participation, and, if indicated in the syllabus, submission of completed assignments are expected at all classes, laboratories, and other activities for which the student is registered. Based on university policy, failure of students to adhere to these requirements shall influence the course grade, financial assistance, and/or enrollment status. The instructor shall maintain an accurate record of each student’s attendance and participation as well as note this information in required reports and in determining final grades. Students may be excused from attendance for reasons such as health, family emergencies, or student participation in approved university-sponsored events. However, students are responsible for notifying their instructors in advance, when possible, for excusable absences.

Work for each online learning module and the quizzes are due by the due dates stated in the syllabus, in the online modules, and on the course Timeline or the student will be considered absent. Activities requiring group participation and/or Discussion Board postings must be done within the designated time frame for each module or the student will be considered absent. Students are required to attend all scheduled Collaborate sessions if assigned to a session. Late work will not earn credit.

Academic Accommodation for Students with Disabilities: Policy 6.1 & 6.6

To obtain disability related accommodations, alternate formats and/or auxiliary aids, students with disabilities must contact the Office of Disability Services (ODS), Human Services Building, and Room 325, (936) 468-3004/468-1004 (TDD) as early as possible in the semester. Once verified, ODS will notify the course instructor and outline the accommodation and/or auxiliary aids to be provided. Failure to request services in a timely manner may delay your accommodations. For additional information, go to http://www.sfasu.edu/disabilityservices/.

Student Academic Dishonesty: Policy 4.1

Academic Integrity

Abiding by university policy on academic integrity is a responsibility of all university faculty and students. Faculty members promote academic integrity in multiple ways including instruction on the components of academic honesty, as well as abiding by university policy on penalties for cheating and plagiarism.
Definition of Academic Dishonesty

Academic dishonesty includes both cheating and plagiarism. Cheating includes but is not limited to (1) using or attempting to use unauthorized materials to aid in achieving a better grade on a component of a class; (2) the falsification or invention of any information, including citations, on an assignment; and/or (3) helping or attempting to help another in an act of cheating or plagiarism.

Plagiarism is presenting the words or ideas of another person as if they were one’s own. Examples of plagiarism include, but are not limited to:

1. Submitting an assignment as if it were one’s own work when it is at least partly the work of another person
2. Submitting a work that has been purchased or otherwise obtained from an Internet source or another source; and/or,
3. Incorporating the words or ideas of an author into one’s paper or presentation without giving the author credit.

Penalties for Academic Dishonesty: Penalties may include, but are not limited to reprimand, no credit for the assignment or exam, resubmission of the work, make-up exam, failure of the course, or expulsion from the university.

Student Appeals: A student who wishes to appeal decisions related to academic dishonesty should follow procedures outlined in Academic Appeals by Students (6.3).

Withheld Grades: Policy 5.5

At the discretion of the instructor of record and with the approval of the academic unit head, a grade of WH will be assigned only if the student cannot complete the course work because of unavoidable circumstances. Students must complete the work within one calendar year from the end of the semester in which they receive a WH, or the grade automatically becomes an F, except as allowed through policy [i.e., Active Military Service (6.14)]. If students register for the same course in future semesters, the WH will automatically become an F and will be counted as a repeated course for the purpose of computing the grade point average. If a student has been found guilty of academic dishonesty, a grade of “WP” or “WH” may be changed to “WF” at the discretion of the faculty member. In the case of a grade change to “WF”, the course will not count towards the six course drop limit since the student is incurring an academic penalty.

Student code of Conduct: Policy 10.4

Classroom behavior should not interfere with the instructor’s ability to conduct the class or the ability of other students to learn from the instructional program (see the Student Conduct Code, policy D-34.1). Unacceptable or disruptive behavior will not be tolerated.
Students who disrupt the learning environment may be asked to leave class and may be subject to judicial, academic or other penalties. This prohibition applies to all instructional forums, including electronic, classroom, labs, discussion groups, field trips, etc. The instructor shall have full discretion over what behavior is appropriate inappropriate in the classroom. Students who do not attend class regularly or who perform poorly on class projects/exams may be referred to the iCare: Early Alert Program at SFASU. Information regarding the iCare program is found at https://www.sfasu.edu/judicial/earlyalert.asp or call the office at: (936)-468-2703

NOTE: SFASU values students' mental health and the role it plays in academic and overall student success. SFA provides a variety of resources to support students mental health and wellness. Many of these resources are free, and all of them are confidential.

**On-campus Resources:**
SFASU Counseling Services
www.sfasu.edu/counselingservices
3rd Floor Rusk Building
936-468-2401

SFASU Human Services Counseling Clinic
www.sfasu.edu/humanservices/139.asp
Human Services Room 202
936-468-1041

**Crisis Resources:**
Burke 24-hour crisis line 1(800) 392-8343
Suicide Prevention Lifeline 1(800) 273-TALK (8255), Crisis Text Line:
Text HELLO to 741-741

**Additional Information Specific to Educator Preparation:**

**Code of Ethics for the Texas Educator:**

The Texas educator shall comply with standard practices and ethical conduct toward students, professional colleagues, school officials, parents, and members of the community and shall safeguard academic freedom. The Texas educator, in maintaining the dignity of the profession, shall respect and obey the law, demonstrate personal integrity, and exemplify honesty and good moral character. The Texas educator, in exemplifying ethical relations with colleagues, shall extend just and equitable treatment to all members of the profession. The Texas educator, in accepting a position of public trust, shall measure success by the progress of each student toward realization of his or
her potential as an effective citizen. The Texas educator, in fulfilling responsibilities in the community, shall cooperate with parents and others to improve the public schools of the community. This chapter shall apply to educators and candidates for certification.


To complete Certification/Licensing Requirements in Texas related to public education, you will be required to:

1. Candidates must undergo a criminal history background check prior to clinical teaching and prior to employment as an educator. The public school campuses are responsible for completing the criminal background check. A person who is enrolled or planning to enroll in a State Board for Educator Certification-approved educator preparation program or planning to take a certification examination may request a preliminary criminal history evaluation letter regarding the person's potential ineligibility for certification due to a conviction or deferred adjudication for a felony or misdemeanor offense.

A Preliminary Criminal History Evaluation is a non-mandatory, non-binding evaluation of an individual’s self-reported criminal history. In addition, the agency obtains your name-based Texas criminal history information. The service is provided to the requestor for a non-refundable fee. The requestor will receive an evaluation letter by email from agency staff advising of potential ineligibility for educator certification.

You are eligible to request a Preliminary Criminal History Evaluation if:

- You enrolled or are planning to enroll in an educator preparation program or
- You are planning to take a certification exam for initial educator certification, and
- You have reason to believe that you may be ineligible for educator certification due to a conviction or deferred adjudication for a felony or misdemeanor offense.

You are not eligible for a preliminary evaluation of your criminal history if you do not have a conviction or deferred adjudication for a felony or misdemeanor offense.

In addition, you must complete the fingerprinting process when you apply for certification. Participation in the evaluation does not preclude you
from submitting to a national criminal history review at the time you apply for your educator certification. Your criminal history will be reviewed and you may be subject to an investigation based on that criminal history, including any information you failed to submit for evaluation. Additional information can be found at: https://tea.texas.gov/Texas_Educators/Investigations/Preliminary_Criminal_History_Evaluation-FAQs/.

2. Provide one of the following primary ID documents: passport, driver’s license, state or providence ID cards, a national ID card, or military ID card to take the TExES exams (additional information available at http://www.tx.nesinc.com/PageView.aspx?f=GEN_Tests.html). YOU must provide legal documentation to be allowed to take these mandated examinations that are related to certification/licensing requirements in Texas. If you do not have legal documentation, you may want to reconsider your major while at SFASU.

3. Successfully complete state mandated a fingerprint background check. If you have a history of criminal activity, you may want to reconsider your major while at SFASU.

4. For further information concerning this matter, contact the Office of Assessment and Accountability at 936-468-1282 or edprep@sfasu.edu.

IX. Other Relevant Course Information

Late Submission Policy and Make-up Exams:
All assignments must be turned in on or before the due date to receive full credit. Late assignments may not be accepted or will be docked points. Make-up exams will be administered only in extreme circumstances and reasons for missing an exam should be documented. Students should contact me in advance of missing an exam.

Syllabus Disclaimer:
While the provisions of this syllabus are as accurate and complete as possible, the instructor reserves the right to change any provision herein with notice if circumstances so warrant. Every effort will be made to keep students advised of such changes and information about such changes will be posted inside the course in D2L as a News Item for the course. It is the responsibility of each student to know what changes, if any, have been made to the provisions for this syllabus and to successfully complete the requirements for this course. Questions regarding information on the syllabus and course requirements need to be addressed by students when the syllabus is received.